	ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	Independent Review of the Operations of the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (NGCP)	Independent Review of the System Operations of the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (SO)	Based on the ERC Resolution, audit is limited to the function of NGCP as Systems Operator (SO). As such, the Terms of Reference should indicate that the review is being conducted on the SO and not NGCP as a whole.
Ι.	INTRODUCTION In accordance with their responsibilities under the Wholesale Electricity Spot Market Rules (WESM Rules), the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (NGCP), be subjected to the first Independent Review of the Operations of NGCP (SO Review). The SO Review, which will be conducted by the PEM Audit Committee (PAC) through an independent third party reviewer, shall be under the supervision of the Department of Energy (DOE) and Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC).	 INTRODUCTION The PEM Audit Committee, through Independent Reviewer, has conducted four (4) Independent Operational Audit of the Systems and Procedures on Market Operations since 2009 up to 2013. To ensure consistency in the evaluation of data and reconciliation of the MO audit findings and considering the significance of the System Operator's (SO) operations, processes and input data to the over-all efficiency and effectiveness of the Wholesale Electricity Spot Market (WESM), there is a need to conduct an independent review of the SO's functions and responsibilities under the WESM Rules. 	The introduction lays the predicate and purpose for the independent review. PIPPA suggests that the MO audits and findings should be discussed at the onset to explain the need for a SO review. This will give prospective independent reviewers a clearer perspective on the reason for the audit.
		Therefore, in accordance with ERC Resolution, Series of 2014 dated December 18, 2014, the PEM Audit	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	Committee (PAC) is authorized to conduct an Independent Review of the Operations of the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines vis-a-vis their responsibilities under the WESM Rules as SO.	
	The Independent Review of the Operations of the SO (the "SO Review") shall be conducted by the PAC, under the supervision of the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC), through an independent third party audit.	
1. The National Grid Corporation of the Philippines		
The NGCP has the crucial task of delivering safe and reliable electricity throughout the archipelago, a responsibility formerly held by the National Transmission Corporation (TransCo). Incorporated in 2008, the private corporation won in the bidding for the franchise to operate and maintain the	Incorporated in 2008, the National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (NGCP), is a private corporation awarded with the franchise to "operate, manage and maintain, and in connection therewith, to engage in the business of conveying or transmitting electricity through high voltage back-bone system of	For a more objective presentation of the purpose and scope of the NGCP, we suggest that the operations of TRANSCO that should be privatized pursuant to Section 21 of EPIRA should be stated, vis-à-vis the scope of NGCP's franchise under Section 1 of Rep. Act No. 9511.

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
country's transmission network – the biggest	interconnected transmission lines, substations	Right mix is not determined by NGCP. The
government auction conducted in efforts to	and related facilities, system operations, and	technology shall be dictated by market forces.
reform the local power sector. The fifty-year	other activities that are necessary to support	
franchise provides NGCP with the right to	the safe and reliable operation of the	
operate, maintain, expand and further develop	transmission system and to construct, install,	
the country's power transmission system which	finance, manage, improve, expand, operate,	
involved almost 20,000 circuit kilometers of	maintain, rehabilitate repair and refurbish the	
transmission lines and more than 28,00 MVA of	present nationwide transmission system of the	
substation capacity. ¹	Republic of the Philippines." ² It was further	
	mandated to "continue to operate and	
	maintain the sub-transmission system which	
	have not been disposed by TRANSCO" ³ and	
	"authorized to engage in construct, install,	
	finance, improve, expand, rehabilitate and	
	repair the nationwide transmission system and	
	the grid of the Republic of the Philippines,	
	ancillary business and any related business	
	which maximizes utilization of its assets such	
	as, but not limited to, telecommunications	
	system, pursuant to Section 20 of Republic Act	
	No. 9136." ⁴	
	The privatization of the transmission business	
	and the scope of the franchise granted to NGCP	

¹ Data as of 26 December 2012, www.ngcp.ph

² Section 1, Republic Act No. 9511

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	was made further to the mandate of Republic	
	Act No. 9136, otherwise known as the Electric	
	Power Industry Reform Act, or the EPIRA,	
	Section 21 of which states:	
	SECTION 21. TRANSCO Privatization. —	
	Within six (6) months from the effectivity of this	
	Act, the PSALM Corp. shall submit a plan for the	
	endorsement by the Joint Power Commission	
	and the approval of the President of the	
	Philippines. The President of the Philippines	
	thereafter shall direct PSALM Corp. to award, in	
	open competitive bidding, the transmission	
	facilities, including grid interconnections and	
	ancillary services to a qualified party either	
	through an outright sale or a concession	
	contract. The buyer/concessionaire shall be	
	responsible for the improvement, expansion,	
	operation, and/or maintenance of its	
	transmission assets and the operation of any	
	related business. The award shall result in	
	maximum present value of proceeds to the	
	national government. In case a concession	
	contract is awarded, the concessionaire shall	
	have a contract period of twenty-five (25)	
	years, subject to review and renewal for a	
	maximum period of another twenty-five (25)	
	years.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	In any case, the awardees shall comply with the	
	Grid Code and the TDP as approved. The sale	
	agreement/concession contract shall include,	
	but not limited to, the provision for	
	performance and financial guarantees or any	
	other covenants, which the national	
	government may require. Failure to comply	
	with such obligations shall result in the	
	imposition of appropriate sanctions or penalties	
	by the ERC.	
	The awardee shall be financially and technically capable, with proven domestic and/or	
	international experience and expertise as a	
	leading transmission system operator. Such	
	experience must be with a transmission system	
	of comparable capacity and coverage as the	
	Philippines."	
	Having been given the mandate for the	
	operations, management and maintenance of	
	system operations, the SO balances the supply	
	and demand of electricity to efficiently serve all	
	of its customers — both in generation and	
	utilization. It is responsible for dispatching	
	generation facilities and Ancillary Service	
	Providers and transmitting this to the various	
	distribution utilities which, in turn, deliver the	
	electricity at a lower voltage rating to	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	households and other end-users. SO also operates and maintains metering facilities and provides technical services, particularly system studies, and operation and maintenance of customer facilities.	
	SO operates in all of the three major Philippine islands: Luzon, Visayas, and Mindanao ("LVM", collectively). Luzon is the region with the largest grid in SO's network, composed of Metro Manila, Northern Luzon, and Southern Luzon. It is the country's main industrial and commercial region, which accounts for 74% of the country's total power demand in 2012. Visayas is composed of separate but interconnected island grids of Cebu, Negros, Panay, Leyte, Samar, and Bohol, which accounts for 14% of the country's power demand. Situated in the southernmost section of the Philippine archipelago, Mindanao is the second largest island group in the Philippines, which accounts for 12% of total power demand in the country.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
2. Governing Rules and Guidelines		
As the System Operator (SO) of the power grid,	Governing Rules and Guidelines	We suggest to capitalize and define "Governing
NGCP balances the demand and supply of		Rules and Regulations". We have added the
electricity to efficiently serve all of its	As the SO of the power grid, its primary	following:
customers which include generators, private	responsibility is to balance the demand and supply	1. EPIRA IRR;
distribution utilities, electric cooperatives, government-owned utilities, ecozones,	of electricity to efficiently serve all of its customers which include generators, private distribution	2. ERC Rules and Regulations
industries, and directly connected companies	utilities, electric cooperatives, government-owned	We have deleted the PDC as the SO is not involved
in accordance with the following rules and	utilities, ecozones, industries, and directly	in distribution. Likewise, we believe that PDP is
guidelines, among others:	connected companies in accordance with the	unrelated to the functions of SO and suggest its
2.1. Electric Power Industry Reform Act of 2001 (EPIRA);	following rules and guidelines (the "Governing Rules and Regulations"), among others:	deletion.
2.2. WESM Rules and Manuals;		
2.3. Philippine Grid Code (PGC) and Philippine	1.1. Electric Power Industry Reform Act of 2001	
Distribution Code (PDC);	(EPIRA) and its Implementing Rules and	
2.4. Open Access Transmission Service (OATS),	Regulations;	
including Ancillary Services Procurement Plan	1.2 WEENA Dules and Manuals	
(ASPP); 2.5. Procedures on Dispatching, Operations	1.2. WESM Rules and Manuals;	
Planning, Ancillary Services, Grid Protection,	1.3. Philippine Grid Code (PGC);	
Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition		
(SCADA), Energy Management System (EMS),	1.4. Open Access Transmission Service (OATS),	
Grid Security, and other protocols covering SO;		
2.6. Power Development Program (PDP);	1.5. Ancillary Services Procurement Plan (ASPP);	
2.7. Republic Act No. 9511 (NGCP Franchise Act)		
	1.6. Procedures on Dispatching, Operations	
	Planning, Ancillary Services, Grid	
	Protection, Supervisory Control and Data	

	ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
		Acquisition (SCADA), Energy Management System (EMS), Grid Security, and other protocols covering SO;	
		1.7. ERC Rules and Regulations;	
		1.8. Republic Act No. 9511 (NGCP Franchise Act); and	
		1.9. DOE Circulars.	
		Capitalized terms used in this Terms of Reference not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the relevant Governing Rules and Regulations.	
Ι.	PEMC and NGCP Coordination in the WESM	PEMC and SO Coordination in the WESM	
	The WESM was established by the DOE, in accordance with the EPIRA, to facilitate a competitive market environment in the Philippine electricity industry. The PEMC as the Autonomous Group Market Operator (AGMO), a non-stock and non-profit organization with equitable representation from electric power industry participants, was constituted by the	In accordance with the EPIRA, the WESM was established by the DOE to facilitate a competitive market environment in the Philippine electricity industry. The PEMC as the Autonomous Group Market Operator (AGMO), is a non-stock and non- profit organization with equitable representation from electric power industry participants, was constituted by the DOE in November 2003 to	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
DOE in November 2003 to operate the WESM in the interim. Currently, the WESM is operational in Luzon and Visayas whereas the Interim Mindanao Electricity Market commenced operations in December 2013.	WESM is operational in Luzon and Visayas whereas the Interim Mindanao Electricity Market	
As the AGMO, PEMC ensures the optimal dispatch of generation based on the submitted offers from generators, bids from customers and conditions of the grid from NGCP, wherefrom a spot price for electricity throughout the grid is set. It facilitates the settlement of financial accounts of the trading participants based on the same sources of information.	electricity throughout the grid is set. It facilitates the settlement of financial accounts of the trading participants based on the same sources of	
NGCP provides the central dispatch to all generation facilities and a load connected, directly or indirectly, to the transmission system, in accordance with the dispatch schedule submitted by the Market Operator (MO). The NGCP, in coordination with the MO, significantly contributes in the development of procedures, processes or systems, and assists with any aspect of the WESM operations.	transmission system, in accordance with the dispatch schedule submitted by the Market	
II. Results of the Independent Operational		

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
Audit of the Systems and Procedures on Market Operations	- Moved to Introduction -	See comment on introduction above.
In accordance with Clause 1.5 of the WESM Rules, the PEM Audit Committee throught Independent Reviewer, has conducted four (4) Independent Operational Audit of the Systems and Procedures on Market Operations since 2009 up to 2013.		
The MO Audit covered the WESM bid-to-bill operations. However, operations of the SO were not included in the audit scope. Thus, data and information coming from the SO were considered as valid and the reasons behind SO's actions as noted by and submitted to the MO were not validated.		
In this regard, the Independent Reviewers of the annual MO Audits have strongly recommended the conduct of SO audit noting the significance of SO's operations, processes and input data to the over-all efficiency and effectiveness of WESM.		
II. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW	II. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW	Our proposed revision aims to encompass all aspects which could aid in the comprehensive
The SO Review shall be conducted to accomplish the following objectives:	The SO Review shall be conducted to accomplish the following objectives:	audit of SO.

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
 Review and assess the compliance by the NGCP-SO with the WESM Rules, Market Manuals, Philippine Grid Code (PGC) and Open Access and Transmission Services (OATS) Rules; 	 Review and assess the compliance by the SO with the Governing Rules and Regulations as defined above; 	
2. Review and assess the accuracy and appropriateness of systems, data management and other procedures and working processes used by the NGCP-SO in order to:	 Evaluate and confirm if the actions taken or responses by the SO are in accordance with the Governing Rules and Regulations; 	
2.1. identify the appropriate steps and measures to help the NGCP-SO effectively and efficiently perform its responsibilities in time and form in	 Identify the appropriate steps and measures to help the SO effectively and efficiently perform its responsibilities in time and form in accordance with the WESM Rules; 	
 accordance with the WESM Rules; 2.2. assess if the NGCP-SO practices and work processes ensure the necessary transparency, independence, 	 Validate the completeness and accuracy of the data and information given by the SO during the audit of MO for the years 2009 to 2013; 	
predictability and non- discrimination, and are in compliance with the WESM Rules and best international practices; and	 Review and assess the sufficiency and effectiveness of the systems, procedures and other work processes used by the SO in complying with its functions and 	
2.3. propose recommendations to improve the procedures to collect and process the information and controls of quality and security of data for the WESM.	responsibilities under the Governing Rules and Regulations, including, but not limited to, an assessment on whether the SO practices and work processes ensure the necessary transparency, independence, predictability and non-discrimination	
3. Review and assess the accuracy and	required of the SO, and whether these	

	ORIGINAL PROVISION		SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
4.	appropriateness of the interfaces and exchange of information among the MO, System Operator (SO), Generator-Trading Participants and other service		systems and processes are in compliance with the WESM Rules and best international practices;	
	providers in relation to system operations. Review and assess the accuracy, reliability and availability of data or information gathered from power plants, substations and other power utilities	6.	Review and assess the sufficiency of the infrastructure used by the SO in carrying out its functions and responsibilities under the Governing Rules and Regulations;	
	power plants, substations and other power atilities	7.	Propose recommendations to improve the procedures to collect and process the information and controls of quality and security of data for the WESM;	
		8.	Review and assess the accuracy and appropriateness of the interfaces and exchange of information among the MO, System Operator (SO), Generator-Trading Participants and other service providers in relation to system operations;	
		9.	Review and assess the accuracy, reliability and availability of SO generated data and information in accordance with its duties and responsibilities under the Governing Rules and Regulations;	
		10	Propose measures to harmonize any conflicting provision in and/or recommend measures for the consistent implementation of the Governing Rules and Regulations; and	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	11. Review and assess the competencies (licensure and professional requirements) of SO staff to operate or perform functions relative to SO (i.e., those assigned to dispatch	
	centers).	
III. REVIEW REQUIREMENTS	III. REVIEW REQUIREMENTS	We have arranged the review requirements to
1. Scope of Work	General Scope of Work and Period Covered	clearly define the scope of work, period covered and tasks of the Independent Reviewer.
The SO Review shall cover NGCP's operations for Luzon, Visayas and Mindanao. The review shall cover procedures, processes and systems being employed by NGCP as SO through the following organizational units: 1.1 Network Operations Division (NOD);	The SO Review shall cover [insert start of MO audit] 2009 to June 2015 involving a thorough examination and evaluation of SO function, systems, data, processes, infrastructure, interface, compliance and conduct as mandated by the Governing Rules and Regulations and guided by	Inasmuch as one of the objectives stated in the ERC resolution was the need to validate the information given by the SO during the MO audit, there is a need to extend the audit period to cover the dates of the MO audit. Therefore, the audit period should start from the first MO audit (2009).
1.2 Power Network Planning Division (PNPD);	Section II (Objectives of the Review). The audit should include a review of all activities of the SO as they are performed through its organizational units	period should start from the first MO addit (2009).
1.3 Grid Security Management Division (GSMD);	such as, but not limited to:	
1.4 Network Protection Division (NPD); and	 Network Operations Division (NOD); Power Network Planning Division (PNPD); 	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
1.5 SCADA/EMS Division.	 Grid Security Management Division (GSMD); Network Protection Division (NPD); SCADA/EMS Division; 	
Further, the SO Review shall cover the relevant interfaces of the SO with the MO and its customers which include generators, private distribution utilities,	 Telecom; and Technical Services Division. 	
electric cooperatives, government-owned utilities, economic zones, industries, and directly connected companies, as shown in the figure below.	The audit shall cover SO's operations for Luzon, Visayas and Mindanao. Independent Reviewer Tasks	
	Prior to the start of audit work the Independent Reviewer is required to consult with the market participants and stakeholders. The initial consultation aims to aid the Independent Reviewer in drafting the Inception Report. Further, upon completion of the significant issues report and final report, the Independent Reviewer is required to meet with market participants and stakeholders to brief them on its major findings from the audit and its recommendations for future improvements as well as to seek their feedback thereon.	
	 The Independent Reviewer is expected to conduct the following tasks, among others: 1. Perform complete audit in accordance with the Objectives outlined in Section II; 	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	2. Continuous interface with stakeholders	
	to identify significant issues including	
	interface aspects outlined below; 3. To render Best Practice	
	Recommendation, particularly:	
	3.1 Recommend corrective measures	
	and obtain SO's response and action	
	plans to address identified non-	
	compliance issues;	
	3.2 Provide and propose enhancements	
	to achieve better international	
	practices where opportunities for improvements in processes are	
	identified in the course of audit.	
	4. Identify inconsistencies in the Governing Rules	
	and Regulations and in the conduct of SO and	
	recommend corrective measures to harmonize	
	implementation of the Governing Rules and	
	Regulations.	
	Review of Interface Relationships	
	The SO Review shall cover the relevant interfaces	
	[specify the interfaces] of the SO with the MO and	
	its customers which include generators, private	
	distribution utilities, electric cooperatives,	
	government-owned utilities, economic zones,	
	industries, and directly connected companies, as	

ORIGINAL PROVISION		GGESTED REVISIO	DN	COMMENTS
	shown in the figu			
FIGURE 2		spatch, significant ellow alert, AS leve	•	Kindly consider the additions as they are related to the interfaces of the SO.
In relation to the WESM operations, the Independent Reviewer shall cross-check information, processes, systems and procedures of the SO and validates the correctness of market information and results being generated from data acquired by the MO from the SO. Table 1 lists the vital information and inputs provided by SO to the MO.	Independ sufficienc systems required Rules an correctne results be by the M	n to the WESM ent Reviewer sl y of the informa and procedures of the SO unde d Regulations an ss of market i eing generated fro IO from the SO. mation and input D.	nall review the ation, processes, of the SO as r the Governing nd validate the nformation and om data acquired Table 1 lists the	
TABLE 1: DATA COMING FROM THE SO	Add:			
	Input Redispatch Instructions such as MRU,	MO Processes Settlement data and information	Output	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	MOT, ASOther data or information required by the Governing Rules and Regulations	
IV. Independent Reviewer Tasks	Move and harmonize with Review Requirements above: 1. Compliance Review 1.1 Assess and verify compliance with the WESM Bulks and Manuals including but not limited	
	 WESM Rules and Manuals including but not limited to the following: 1. Dispatch Protocol; 2. System Security and Reliability Guidelines; 3. Management of Must Run Units; 4. Management Procedure for Load Shedding; 5. Emergency Procedures; 6. Management Procedure on Excess Generation; and 7. Ancillary Services Monitoring. 	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	1.2Assess and verify compliance with the provisions on Grid Operations, Scheduling and Dispatch provided under the PGC;	
	1.3 Assess and confirm if Grid Code Compliance Plan submitted to the ERC is being implemented as scheduled;	
	1.4 Assess and verify compliance with the OATS Rules;	
	1.5 Assess the compliance to provide Ancillary Services;	
	1.6 Assess and verify compliance with the ASPP approved by ERC;	
	 1.7 Evaluate the technical requirements and the procedures for the testing and accreditation of ancillary services providers, such as but not limited to the following; 1.7.1 Governor characteristics (i.e.droop) 1.7.2 Automatic Generation Control information (i.e. frequency bias, response time) 	
	1.8 Assess and verify if the required system reserve requirements are being satisfied at all	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	times. If not, delve into the reasons for the non- compliance and the plans to address the problem; and	
	1.9 Validate suitability and effectiveness of mechanism/systems used by SO in monitoring compliance of ancillary services providers.	
	2. Process and Procedure Review	
	2.1 Assess and confirm if the actions taken or responses by the SO are in accordance with the Governing Rules and Regulations ;	
	2.2. Assess if single outage (N-1) contingency criterion is being satisfied at all times. If not, look into the measures being undertaken to meet this PGC requirement;	
	2.3 Assess the measures being implemented to address credible multiple outage contingency events, such as the application of Special Protection Systems;	
	2.4 Assess SO's process in designating Must- Run Units;2.5 Assess the adequacy of the Automatic Load	
	Dropping procedures and execution;	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
ORIGINAL PROVISION	 2.6 Assess the adequacy of the System Operator's process in ensuring the reliability and security of the grid; 2.7 Assess the interfaces procedures, processes or system among the Market Operator and the System Operator; 2.8 Validate if network congestion problems as identified by the ERC, GMC and PEMC/MO are being properly addressed by transmission upgrade and expansion projects; 2.9 Assess if SO has internal operating guidelines and are in accordance with internationally accepted standards; and 2.10 Confirm if documented internal operating guidelines, standards, procedures and work instructions are being followed. Validate if these documents are aligned or harmonized with the 	COMMENTS
	 PGC, WESM Rules and Manuals (e.g. manual operation of load tap changer). 3. IT Systems Review 	
	 3.1 Examine the System Operator's IT systems (including SCADA); 3.2 Assess the process used by SO in updating real-time information with a view to investigating how the accuracy of their real-time data can be 	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	improved;	
	 3.3 Validate the correctness of market information and results being generated from data acquired by the MO from the SO; 3.4 Review the security of the systems used by 	
	the SO	
4. Materiality	4. Materiality	
The materiality levels for the tests of transactions and	The materiality levels for the tests of transactions	
significant findings shall be set and disclosed by the	and significant findings shall be set and disclosed by	
Independent Reviewer, subject to review and approval	the Independent Reviewer, subject to review and	
of the PAC and TWG.	approval of the PAC and TWG. The TWG shall be	
	constituted by the PAC and shall be composed of	
Upon the discretion of the Independent Reviewer and	representatives from DOE, ERC and PAC.	
review of the PAC and DOE, another threshold may be adopted for the reporting of significant issues	Upon the discretion of the Independent Reviewer	
identified during the course of the review, although it	and review of the PAC and DOE, another threshold	
is recognized that there may be qualitative aspects in	may be adopted for the reporting of significant	
determining the significance of any issue. That is, the	issues identified during the course of the review,	
Independent Reviewer shall report and evaluate the	although it is recognized that there may be	
impact of the issues that come to its attention that	qualitative aspects in determining the significance	
exceed this significant issues threshold, or that it	of any issue. That is, the Independent Reviewer	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	shall report and evaluate the impact of the issues that come to its attention that exceed this significant issues threshold, or that it believes to be significant for other reasons.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
V. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS 1. Indicative Schedule	IV. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS	
ХХХ	Indicative Schedule	
2. Interfaces	The SO Review envisaged that the review would be	
The Independent Reviewer shall be reporting	undertaken for a maximum of 180 working days	
to the PAC for the duration of the audit.	(roughly, 6 months) in accordance with the following schedule:	
All activities of the Independent Reviewer shall		
be accordingly coordinated with the PAC,	1. Commencement of the review and	
through the PEMC-Market Assessment Group	-	
(MAG). The PAC, through the MAG, shall	submission of the Inception Report to the PAC	
provide linkages of Independent Reviewer with	and TC,	
the SO and NGCP Management and DOE. The	2. Submission of Progress Report	
NGCP, in coordination with the MAG, shall	- Monthly	
extend all reasonable support to the		
Independent Reviewer for the duration of services, which shall include, among others:	 Submission of Significant Issues Report as applicable 	
1. Facilitate access to the use of all relevant software;	4. Submission of Draft Review Reports	
2. Facilitate technical assistance from all		
relevant personnel;	5. Submission of Final Reports	
3. Provide necessary office space;	_	
4. Facilitate access to all data and		
information for the implementation of	Interfaces	
the audit; and		
5. Arrange meetings with the PAC and	The Independent Reviewer shall be reporting to the	

	ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	other relevant group or personnel of NGCP to discuss issues and concerns pertaining to the conduct of the audit.	 PAC for the duration of the audit. All activities of the Independent Reviewer shall be accordingly coordinated with the PAC, through the PEMC-Market Assessment Group (MAG). The PAC, through the MAG, shall provide linkages of Independent Reviewer with the SO and SO Management and DOE. The SO, in coordination with the MAG, shall extend all reasonable support to the Independent Reviewer for the duration of services, which shall include, among others: 1. Facilitate access to the use of all relevant software; 2. Facilitate technical assistance from all relevant personnel; 3. Provide necessary office space; 4. Facilitate access to all data and information for the implementation of the audit; and 5. Arrange meetings with the PAC and other relevant group or personnel of SO to discuss schedule and methodology pertaining to the conduct of the audit. 	
3.	Confidentiality	Confidentiality	All data gathered by auditor from market

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
Except with the prior written consent of the PAC and	Except with the prior written consent of the PAC	participants shall be treated as confidential.
TWG, the Independent Reviewer shall neither, at any	and TWG, the Independent Reviewer shall not, at	
time, copy, reproduce, sell, assign, license, market,	any time, copy, reproduce, sell, assign, license,	No definition of (Manue Dreduct// in the TOD
transfer or otherwise communicate to any person or	market, transfer or otherwise communicate to any	No definition of "Work Product" in the TOR.
entity any Confidential Information disclosed to them for the purpose of the audit service, or discovered by	person or entity any Confidential Information disclosed to them for the purpose of the audit	
them in the course of the review nor shall the	service, or discovered by them in the course of the	
Independent Reviewer make public any information as	review nor shall the Independent Reviewer make	
to the recommendations formulated in the course of	public any information as to the recommendations	
or as a result of the review.	formulated in the course of or as a result of the	
	review.	
Confidential Information includes, without limitation,		
papers, programs, trade secrets, plans, participant	Confidential Information includes, without	
information, billing and settlement information,	limitation, papers, programs, trade secrets, plans,	
metering data, and personnel records related to the business activities, research or development of the	participant information, billing and settlement information, metering data, and personnel records	
NGCP, PEMC and/or the WESM. All NGCP/PEMC-	related to the business activities, research or	
developed or delivered protocols, software (in any	development of the SO, PEMC and/or the WESM.	
media) and software documentation, and all Work	All SO/PEMC-developed or delivered protocols,	
Product as subsequently defined below, are likewise	software (in any media) and software	
deemed Confidential Information.	documentation, and all Work Product as	
	subsequently defined below, are likewise deemed	
	Confidential Information.	
	Work Product is defined as all notes, information,	
	data, reports, memoranda pertaining to market	
	participants submitted to the WESM or to the SO.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	Notwithstanding the above, the Independent Reviewer shall comply with the rules of confidentiality as prescribed under the Philippine Grid Code, the WESM Rules, and the relevant Governing Rules and Regulations. The Independent Reviewer shall keep the confidentiality of any disaggregated information or data pertaining to a market participant and shall communicate or publish the same without prior written consent of the market participant concerned.	
 4. Deliverables The Independent Reviewer shall submit two (2) original hard copies and soft copies of the reports, which will include the following: 4.1 Inception Report Within the first two weeks of the audit implementation, the Independent Reviewer shall clearly explain its Audit Plan and Methodology through the Inception Report which shall be submitted in soft 	 V. Deliverables The Independent Reviewer shall submit two (2) original hard copies and soft copies of the reports, which will include the following: 5. 1 Inception Report Within the first two weeks of the audit implementation, the Independent Reviewer shall clearly explain its Audit Plan and Methodology through the Inception Report, which shall be submitted in soft copies and two (2) hard copies. 	The TOR should define the "Review Reports." Market participants should be provided a copy of the final and full review report.
copy and two (2) hard copies. Among others, the report shall provide the audit process, indicative schedule of client interaction during the duration of audit work and submission of deliverables. The report shall be agreed upon by the	Among others, the report shall provide the audit process, indicative schedule of client interaction during the duration of audit work and submission of deliverables. The report shall be agreed upon by the Independent Reviewer, PAC and DOE.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
Independent Reviewer, PAC and DOE.		
4.2 Progress Reports The Independent Reviewer shall regularly inform the PAC of the status and relevant developments of the audit activity through Progress Reports which shall be submitted monthly from the start up to the conclusion of the audit in soft copy and two (2) hard copies.	5.2 Progress Reports The Independent Reviewer shall regularly inform the PAC of the status and relevant developments of the audit activity through Progress Reports which shall be submitted monthly from the start up to the conclusion of the audit in soft copy and two (2) hard copies.	
The Progress Report shall provide highlights of document reviews and interviews of relevant SO personnel and other parties. An inventory of outstanding issues, recommendations and status of all identified issues shall also be provided.	The Progress Report shall provide highlights of document reviews and interviews of relevant SO personnel and other parties. An inventory of outstanding issues, recommendations and status of all identified issues shall also be provided.	
4.3 Significant Issues Report The Independent Reviewer shall immediately inform the PAC of any identified inconsistencies or non- compliance of the systems and procedures under the relevant rules and guidelines through a Significant Issues Report which shall be submitted in soft copy and two (2) hard copies.	5.3 Significant Issues Report The Independent Reviewer shall immediately inform the PAC of any identified inconsistencies or non-compliance of the systems and procedures under the relevant rules and guidelines through a Significant Issues Report which shall be submitted in soft copy and two (2) hard copies.	
The Independent Reviewer shall discuss with the PAC, NGCP, DOE, and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary, its draft findings before submitting the Review Reports.	The Independent Reviewer shall discuss with the PAC, SO, DOE, and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary, its draft findings before submitting the Review Reports.	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
4.4 Final Report	5.4 Final Report	
As the end-product of the SO Review, the Independent	As the end-product of the SO Review, the	
Reviewer shall submit the following Review Reports:	Independent Reviewer shall submit the following	
	Review Reports:	
1. Independent Review Report on Luzon		
Operations;		
	4.1 Independent Review Report on Luzon	
2. Independent Review Report on Visayas	Operations;	
Operations;	4.2 Independent Review Report on Visayas	
	Operations;	
3. Independent Review Report on Mindanao	4.3 Independent Review Report on Mindanao	
Operations; and	Operations; and 4.4 Public Summary Report.	
4. Public Summary Report.	4.4 Public Summary Report.	
4. Public Summary Report.	A Review Report contains the complete findings of	
The reports shall provide, among others, the following:	the Independent Reviewer specifically addressing	
	all the components of audit identified in this Terms	
1. Audit Opinion on the NGCP's compliance to the	of Reference.	
rules and guidelines which are covered in the scope;		
	The Review Report shall provide, among others, the	
2. Audit methodology;	following:	
3. Main findings; and	1. Audit Opinion on the SO's compliance with	
	and actions taken in relation to the rules and	
4. Recommendations, such as possible	guidelines which are covered in the scope;	
improvements to the relevant rules and guidelines,		
operating procedures, control objectives, specific	2. Audit methodology;	
controls, practices and processes, to address non-		

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
compliance and other issues such as quality control and best practices, among others.		
To finalize the Review Reports, the Independent Reviewer shall discuss with the draft Review Reports with the PAC and NGCP Management and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary. The Independent Reviewer shall present its findings to	4. Recommendations, such as possible improvements, amendments, harmonization with the relevant rules and guidelines, operating procedures, control objectives, specific controls, practices and processes, to address non-compliance and other issues such as quality control and best practices, among others.	
 The independent Reviewer shall present its indings to the PAC, NGCP, PEM Board, DOE and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary. The Review Report shall be made available to the PAC, NGCP, PEM Board, DOE, ERC and the WESM Participants. 	The Independent Reviewer shall discuss the draft Review Reports with the PAC and SO Management and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary.	
	The Independent Reviewer shall present its findings to the PAC, SO, PEM Board, DOE, WESM Participants and other entities as may be determined by the PAC, if necessary.	
	The final and complete Review Report shall be made available to the PAC, SO, PEM Board, DOE, ERC and the WESM Participants.	
5. General Qualifications of External Audit Team Members	5.4 General Qualification of External Audit	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
	Team Members	
The Independent Reviewer's Audit Team shall have competent professionals that have adequate		
experience and capability of understanding the business, information technology (IT), controls and	The Independent Reviewer's Audit Team shall have competent professionals that have adequate	
processes that are adopted by similar system	experience and capability of understanding the	
operators in other electricity networks and electricity markets which are applicable to the WESM.	business, information technology (IT), controls and processes that are adopted by similar system	
	operators in other electricity networks and	
The composition of the team shall have the following qualifications:	electricity markets which are applicable to the WESM.	
quanneations.	WESIVI.	
1. The Team Leader shall have project	The composition of the team shall have the	
management skills and strong background in system operations in electricity networks;	following qualifications:	
	1. The Team Leader shall have project	
2. The members of the Audit Team shall include,	management skills and strong background in	
but are not limited to, the following:	system operations in electricity networks;	
2.1 Audit expert (operations);	2. The members of the Audit Team shall	
2.2 Information and communications technology expert;	include, but are not limited to, the following:	
2.3 System operations expert;	2.1. Audit expert (operations);	
2.4 Electricity market operations expert; and	2.2. Information and communications technology expert;	
2.5 Regulatory expert (Legal, Finance,	2.3. System operations expert;	
Economics).	2.4. Electricity market operations expert; and	
	2.5. Regulatory expert (Legal, Finance,	

ORIGINAL PROVISION	SUGGESTED REVISION	COMMENTS
5.1 Audit Team members shall have	Economics).	
one of the following qualifications,		
or their equivalent:	3.1 Audit Team members shall have one of the	
	following qualifications, or their equivalent:	
5.1.1 Certified Information		
Systems Auditor (CISA);	3.1.1 Certified Information Systems Auditor	
	(CISA);	
5.1.2Certified Information	3.1.2 Certified Information Systems Security	
Systems Security Professional	Professional (CISSP);	
(CISSP);	3.1.3 ISO 27001 Auditor Certification for	
5.1.3 ISO 27001 Auditor	Information Security Management Systems (ISMS);	
Certification for	and	
Information Security	3.1.4 Certified Internal Auditor (CIA).	
Management Systems		
(ISMS); and		
5.1.4Certified Internal Auditor		
(CIA).		